

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,453	01/28/2002	Leland C. Clark JR.	26875.00100	5092
28983 7.	590 09/29/2003			
REED SMITH CROSBY HEAFEY LLP			EXAMINER	
1901 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 700 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067		ITE 700	LANKFORD JR, LEON B	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	6
		·	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/058,453	CLARK, LELAND C.	CLARK, LELAND C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	L Blaine Lankford	1651		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	lanuary 2002 .			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26</u> is/are pending in the application	an.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With Holli Gorisia Cration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers	,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by t	ne Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	sapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	119(e) (to a provisional application)	).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment(s)	-			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of I	tummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/058,453

Art Unit: 1651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 20-26 have been presented for examination and are considered on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are rejected as being incomplete for omitting essential steps or elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. The claims call for "contacting the emulsion with a protein cross-linking agent" yet there is nothing in the emulsion that would crosslink. It is unclear what is being formed into a gel and thus essential elements appear to be missing from the claims. See for example: In re Venezia, 530 F.2d 956, 189 USPQ 149 (CCPA 1976); In re Collier, 397 F.2d 1003, 158 USPQ 266 (CCPA 1968).

Please note that the language of a claim must make it clear what subject matter the claim encompasses to adequately delineate its "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA)

Application/Control Number: 10/058,453

Art Unit: 1651

1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Goffe, 526 F 2d. 1393, 1397, 188 USPQ 131, 135 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973). The courts have also indicated that before claimed subject matter can properly be compared to the prior art, it is essential to know what the claims do in fact cover. See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Steele, 305 F 2d. 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); In re Moore 439 F 2d. 1232, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1969); In re Merat, 519 F 2d. 1390, 186 USPQ 471 (CCPA 1975).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims call for "contacting the emulsion with a protein cross-linking agent" yet there is nothing in the emulsion that would crosslink. It is unclear what is being formed into a gel and thus critical elements appear to be missing from the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,453

**Art Unit: 1651** 

A feature which is taught as critical in a specification and is not recited in the claims should result in a rejection of such claim under the enablement provision section of 35 U.S.C. 112. See In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 1233, 188 USPQ 356, 358 (CCPA 1976). A claim which omits matter disclosed to be essential to the invention as described in the specification or in other statements of record may be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as not enabling. In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). See also MPEP § 2164.08(c). Such essential matter may include missing elements, steps or necessary structural cooperative relationships of elements described by the applicant(s) as necessary to practice the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gough(4890620).

Gough (see esp Exampe 1) teaches making a stabilized enzyme gel for use on a glucose sensor which is made by combining an enzyme solution with a protein in a phosphate buffer and then crosslinking with glutaraldehyde as they are mixed (a

Art Unit: 1651

universal layer). Both the aqueous buffer solution and the gel as a whole are substances with can dissolve oxygen. The reference anticipates the claim subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner